Project Ignirtoq / Cheesegod : Querying facts from Source Code Repositories

Abram Hindle

Department of Computer Science

University of Victoria

abez@uvic.ca

August 3, 2004

This Presentation

- What am I going to cover?
 - Source Code Repositories
 - CVS
 - MRs
 - Questions that are applicable to source code repositories.
 - Ignirtoq / Cheesegod
 - Summary

Source Code Repositories

- Products
 - CVS
 - Subversion
 - Clear Case
 - Source Safe
 - BitKeeper
- Functionality
 - Revisions
 - Branches
 - Concurrency
 - Configuration Management

CVS

- Why CVS?
 - Defacto Standard for Open Source projects.
 - Many mature Open Source Projects have open repositories to study.
 - Learn about Open Source Software Development processes.

Operations

- CVS Operations
 - commit
 - update
 - checkout
- softChange attempts to track CVS Commits by grouping revisions.

MRs

- What is an MR?
 - Modification Request
 - Programmer submits a modification of the source code to the repository.
 - For CVS when a programmer commits changes.

Questions

- What questions do we aim to answer?
 - Is it true that Programmer B only modifies files that Programmer A had modified previously
 - Is there no change to any files exceeds a certain number of lines.
 - Is there no change to any files is less than a certain number of lines.
 - There exists changes to files of Zero lines?
 - Is it true that all MRs with 200 or more files add the same number of lines per file?

Questions

- Can we validate Lehman's Laws on a project?
 - 1. The law of continuing change.
 - * Any software system used in the real-world necessarily must change or become less and less useful in that environment.
 - 2. The law of increasing complexity.
 - * As time flows forwards entropy increases. That is, as a program evolves its structure will become more complex. Just as in physics this effect can, through great cost, be negated in the short term.
 - 3. The law of large program evolution.
 - * Program evolution is a self-regulating process and measurements of system attributes such as size, time between releases, number of reported errors, etc., reveals statistically significant trends and invariances.
 - 4. The law of organizational stability.

- * Over the lifetime of a program, the rate of development of that program is approximately constant and independent of the resources devoted to system development.
- 5. The law of conservation of familiarity.
- Over the lifetime of a system, the incremental system change in each release is approximately constant.
- (Lehman, M., (1980), 'Programs, life cycles and the laws of software evolution', Proc. IEEE, 15 (3).)

softChange

- What is softChange?
 - softChange is a collection of applications that work together in order to further study the software evolution of a project.
 - softChange elaborates on data provided from many sources to enable an accurate description of the evolution of a project.
 - softChange helps answer common questions maintainers, developers and administrators have about a project.



Figure 1: Architecture of Softchange

softChange

- What is softChange?
 - Software Trails Repository A relational database that stores all the historical data.
 - Software Trails Extractor Extracts data from CVS, Changelogs, bug reports and emails.
 - Software Trails Analyzer / Fact Enhancer Combines data in the repository to form MRs, and produce other useful statistics.
 - Visualizer Visualize the data in the repository to aid the user in exploration and discovery.

- What is Ignirtoq / Cheesegod
 - A model of source code repositories .
 - A query language to ask questions of the model
 - An engine which executes query on the model of a source code repositories .

- Seriously what is with the name?
 - Ignirtoq Inuit God of either:
 - Lightning (produced with a flint) Lightning is appropriate as CVS branches over time and there is often concurrent and parallel development. (Non-wikipedia sources)
 - * light and truth the purpose of the system is allow you query source code repositories and get correct results. (From Wikipedia)
 - * Culture still uses this god, it could be insensitive to use.
 - Cheesegod A silly name
 - * Silly
 - Cheesegod is some guy I know on the Internet, he works at a CVS Pharmacy.
 - * cheesegod.com is a terrible site (bad design + quicktime embed)

• I have yet to decide on the actual name.

- Model of MR Domain
 - Each MR is related to each other in time. There is a starting MR and an ending MR. Assume directed edges from one MR to the next MR in time. Assumption: No MR occurs at the same time as another MR.
 - MR's have attributes id, log comment, date of revision, time of revision
 - MR's are related to other elements from other domains. Authors, Revisions, Files

- Model of Author Domain
 - Each Author is linked to MRs they authored.
 - * Which are linked to revisions and files

- Model of Revision Domain
 - Revisions the changes made to a file during from an MR
 - * Linked to a MR
 - * Linked to the file Changed
 - * Contains changes data, number of lines change, revision id, revision number
 - Related to future revisions on the same files (branches and future revisions)

- Model of File Domain
 - Revisions the changes made to a file during from an MR
 - * Linked to other files in the same module
 - * Linked to other files through revisions.



Figure 2: Model Graph Example



Figure 3: Revisions Graph Example

- Query language
 - binary operators: \rightarrow , == , \leq , \geq , > , < , ! = , + , , / , * , && , ||
 - unary operators: /
 - Universal Quantifiers: $orall_{before}$, $orall_{after}$, orall
 - Existential Quantifiers: \exists_{before} , \exists_{after} , \exists
 - Predefined Functions: function(x)
 - Properties: *x*.*property*
 - Identifiers : \boldsymbol{x}

- Query language examples
 - $\exists (a, MR) \{a\&\&a\}$
 - $\forall (b, MR) \{ b.time > 0 \}$
 - $\forall (b, MR) \{ b.time <= 0 \}$
 - $\forall (b, MR) \{ b.time! = 0 \}$
 - $\forall (b, MR) \{ b.time == 0 \}$
 - $\exists (b, MR) \{ b.totalMethodsBefore > b.totalMethodsAfter \}$
 - $\exists (b, MR) \{ b.totalMethodsBefore! = \\ b.totalMethodsAfter || b.totalMethodsBefore! = \\ b.totalMethodsAfter \}$
 - $\exists (b, MR) \{ (b.totalMethodsBefore > b.totalMethodsAfter) || (b.totalMethodsBefore <= b.totalMethodsAfter) || (b.totalMethodsBefore <= b.totalMethodsBefore <= b.totalMetho$

 $b.totalMethodsAfter)\}$

- $\forall_{before}(a, MR, a.time, a.time) \{a.totalMethodsAfter < a.totalMethodsAfter\}$
- $\forall_{after}(a, MR, a.time, 1000) \{a.totalMethodsAfter < a.totalMethodsAfter\}$

 $\exists (b, MR) \{ \forall_{before}(a, MR, a.time, b.time) \{ a.totalMethodsAfter < b.totalMethodsAfter \} \}$

- Possible Uses:
 - Research, verifying Lehman's laws, metrics
 - Fine Grained CVS Access Control
 - Invariant Testing
 - Invariant Discovery
 - Searching MRs (needs more set operators)
 - Legal Questions (e.g. SCO vs IBM)

- Possible Use: Fine Grained CVS Access Control
 - One could specify invariants for the repository to limit the committing of code.
 - E.g. Users can only modify files they modified in the past, or files their teammates created.
 - Access control could depend on data in the repository rather than concrete individual rules about users.

- Possible Use: Automated Invariant Discovery
 - Either randomly generate queries or iterate a subset of the query space searching for invariants.
 - Invariants could be tested across multiple projects. The most interesting invariants would be those which are true in most projects.
 - Can we get a better understanding about software evolution and the constraints on software evolution by learning about the invariants of a source code repositories ?
 - Huge search space.

- Issues:
 - Sets and getting MRs out
 - * Assume A and B are sets.. what does A + B mean?
 - Query Optimization ($O(n^2)$ queries and worse!)
 - Invariant Discovery takes a long time
 - Proof
 - * Are all queries haltable?
 - * If a query is answered in the model is it the same answer in the real system?

Future Work

- Better implementation
- Set support
- Actual Proofs
- Thesis

Summary

- Ignirtoq / Cheesegod will be used to ask questions of the source code repositories through a model of it.
- Needs more implementation and research
- To be truly useful we'll have to add set operations
- Many queries will take a lot of execution time
- Useful for:
 - access control
 - finding invariants

References